IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

WEDNESDAY, THE 22ND AUGUST 2007 / 31ST SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 25462 of 2007(F)

PETITIONER:

REJAN.J., NIGHT WATCH MAN,
UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
REGIONAL CENTRE, ADOOR, RESIDING AT GEETHA BHAVAN,
PARANTHAL P.O., MITHRAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.B.SAHASRANAMAN
SRI.K.JAGADEESH
SRI.T.S.HARIKUMAR

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE UNIVERSITY OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE REGISTRAR,
KERALA UNIVERSITY OFFICE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE VICE CHANCELLOR,
UNIVERSITY OF KERALA,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE DIRECTOR,
UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
OFFICE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF KERALA,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

4. THE PRINCIPAL,
UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
REGIONAL CENTRE, HIGH SCHOOL JUNCTION,
ADOOR.

BY SRI. M. RAJAGOPALAN NAIR, SC, KERALA UNIVERSITY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K. BASHEER, J.

W.P.(C). NO. 25462 OF 2007

Dated this the 22nd day of August, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Grievance of the petitioner, who is admittedly a provisional employee in the University Institute of Technology at Adoor, is that his services have been unceremoniously terminated on the allegation that he had indulged in unlawful activities and received illegal gratification. According 6to the petitioner, he is entitled to continue in service till December 10, 2007, when his extended tenure is due to expire. But his primary grievance is that he was not served with any prior notice before disengaging him from service. Even though it is not clear whether petitioner had been served with prior notice, I am of the view that this writ petition need not be retained on the file of this Court.

2. Learned Standing counsel, who accepts notice for respondents 1 to 3 submits that petitioner will be furnished with the memo of charges and all particulars of the charges or allegations against him as referred to in Ext.P2 order.

Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of with the following directions:

Respondent No.1 shall ensure that petitioner is served with notice indicating the allegations within 7 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. On receipt of the same, it will be open to the petitioner to respond and submit his explanation, in which event respondent No.1 shall consider the same and pass appropriate orders. The entire process shall be completed by respondent No.1, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

A.K. BASHEER, JUDGE

vps

A.K. BASHEER, JUDGE

OP NO.20954/00

JUDGMENT

1ST MARCH, 2007